



colophon

RE-NOW-RE 3,33% 33,3% 333%

© Jan De Vylder, HS 2021 © universum carrousel journey, HS 2021

Universum Carrousel Journey Studio Jan De Vylder Jan De Vylder . Annamaria Prandi Marie Seeger Luca Mostarda Angelique Künzle Oliver Burch Iohanna Roth Jochen Schamelhout Lukas Ryffel Rina Rolli Jakob Junghanss

Collaborations Chair of History & Theory Prof. Dr. Maarten Delbeke Linda Stagni Chair of Konstruktionserbe und Denkmalpflege Prof. Dr. Silke Langenberg Reto Wasser

Editor in chief Jan De Vylder

Editors 8000.agency Jakob Junghanss Lukas Ryffel Oliver Burch

Graphic designer Piet Bodyn

RE-NOW-RE universum 2021 HS ETH Zürich D-ARCH IEA

Disclamer Universum Carrousel Journey has been careful to contact all copyright holders of the images used. if you claim ownership of any of the images presented here, and have not been properly identified, please contact Universum Carrousel Journey and we will be happy to make a formal acknowledgement in a future issue.

© Jan De Vylder, HS 2021 All rights reserved; no part of this publication may be reproduced without the prior written consent of Studio Jan De Vylder

www.universumcarrouseljourney.com www.architectenjdviv.com www.de-vylder.arch.ethz.ch

PROLOGUE Ι DAUERHAFTIGKEIT / 3,33% 33,3% 333%

Π **MOCK-UPS** DIPLOMA TOPIC INTRODUCTION

III OVERVIEW PREPARATION PHASE / ELABORATION PHASE

IV EVENTS DEBATE AND EXPEDITION

QUESTIONS V

IMAGE / OWNERSHIP / STANDARDS / CYCLES / USERS / STORIES

PROLOGUE

DIPLOMA STUDIO FALL SEMESTER – MOCK-UPS

3,33%

33,3%

333%

This diploma studio inscribes itself not only in the wide ambition of the DAUERHAFTIGKEIT as written out by the chair of Elli Mosayebi, but also in the wider ambition of our own chair regarding the ideas shared in the 333% -33,3%- 3,33%- studios, in which we are on the search of a critical evaluation of the RE-USE entitled RE-THINKING-RE. Not only a better understanding of the E-CONOMY and E-COLOGY and E-RGONOMY of RE-USE is the focus, moreover an alternative attitude is the ambition.

The 3,33% and 33,3% and 333% idea could also be rephrased as re- and RE- and (P)RE-. Let's explain the ideas one by one. As possible critical variants on the idea of RE-USE.

The 33.3% or RE- is the first possible critical variant of 100% act. The idea that a CONTEXT can be APPROACHED rather by INTERVENTION—ECONOMY, ECOLOGY, ERGONOMY—and that this could be good enough as a new standard: To explore the possibilities of a more restrained, humble but precise action. This is in many ways an attitude of economy of means. Less energy of action, of course, means less economy and ecology, but it could also require changing the attitude of use effectively today. This could also make it a question of ergonomics: how to USE the given context differently and yet act less?

The 333% or (P)RE- is the second possible critical variant of the 100% act. The idea that a CONTEXT can be CREATED that yet today takes into account a MULTIPLE MULTIFUNCTIONAL MULTIFLEXIBLE chance and that it would be better to take this as a new standard. To explore the possibilities of a more flexible, adaptable but pragmatic action. In many ways, this is an attitude of future planning. More possibilities could mean less action and therefore less economy and ecology needed later, but will require a change of attitude of use yet today. It could therefore also become a question of ergonomics: how to PREPARE the CONTEXT differently to better enable future RE-USE?

The 3,33% or re- is the third and last possible critical variant of 100% act: To act immediately. This is an attitude of understanding the emergency. More immediate action could mean less action and therefore less economy and ecology, which are needed today. At the same time it could open up a debate that perhaps only a small change in attitude would be good enough. By that, it could also become a question of ergonomics: how to CHANGE the ATTITUDE IN USE?

Ι

Π

MOCK-UPS

Construction sites, building pits and Baugespanne are scattered around Zürich, telling of a near future to come. On many of these construction sites, the first real impression of what is yet to be constructed is a 1:1 model—a MOCK-UP.

What Baugespanne indicate on a rather abstract, legal level, MOCK-UPS are representing on a graspable material reality, revealing what a building and its facade all can be and will be. They are a spark of architectural ambition, the hope to exemplify, often a device to test, but surely a backdrop for the upcoming development. A preface, an object of representation, with no real spatial ambition, but interest in surfaces and joints.

But what do these objects actually represent? And what do they stand for?

Usually, a MOCK-UP gives a glimpse of the facade of a buildingan element that seems to become more and more the most developed part of a building. The facade often combines the rather architectural expression of a real need—to close off and open at the same time—with a highly technical development in today's standards. From climate to acoustics, they express and celebrate technical innovations without really questioning.

So, which ideologies are connected to a MOCK-UP? What do they actually tell us from its mere presence?

This diploma studio will look at these fragments as if they were prisms, refracting the world that lays behind the object. We want to study MOCK-UPS in Zürich in all their characteristics and ambitions, to not only find out what they really mean-or intend to mean—but also what can be discovered if we look at these objects from the right angle, taking them seriously on every level.









Drawing: Selection of Mock-ups, fragements of buildings telling of a near future to come.



				III	OVERVIEW
Week 1 / 20.9 26.9.	Monday 20.09.	14:00-18:00	Hand out of program, introduction meeting, task for W2: - explore the city and find at least 3 mock-ups		
	Wednesday 22.09.	10:00-12:00	- observe, document, draw, talk, map EVENT 1: Visit to S AM, Basel. Exhibition: MOCK UP.	preparation	During the PREPARATION PHAS
Week 2 / 27.9 3.10.	Tuesday 28.09. Wednesday 29.09.	09:00-18:00 09:00-13:00	EVENT 2: Collective exploration of all mock-ups, Zürich table crit. individual ambition: drawings and documentation of various mock-ups collective ambition: mapping of all found mock-ups task for W3: - choose 3 mock-ups that spark your interest. - relate these 3 mock-ups to the world behind it - draw, document, investigate, research	phase / 7 weeks collective / grade 40%	research and investigate. The goal i collection of MOCK-UPS and here of the city of Zürich at this very mo why? Who profits? What materials unravel all phenomena that can be and research starting from the phys - architecturally (concept to typolo ambitions), ecologically (resource t The work will be divided into in observing, being on site, browsing to other hand in collective moments of visits with guests, model-studies an part of the PREPARATION PHASI historical and political perspective, building physics are the ambition. The PREPARATION PHASE sl individually developed comprehenss the findings that started from the a UP. These narratives should lay a b proposal that will be developed in t The findings should give you as an interfere and position yourself in th today.
Week 3 / 4.10 10.10.	Monday 04.10. Thursday 07.10.	14:00-16:00 10:00-13:00	EVENT 3: BAUTEILLAGER, Stettbachstrasse 7, Dübendorf tour through the Bauteillager with Sandrine Keck. MID-TERM DEBATE of PREPARATION PHASE, with Jan de Vylder individual ambition: present 3 mock-ups, choose 1 to continue with. collective ambition: catalogue of 18 mock-ups. delivery: - coherent stories to each mock-up - argumentation for the chosen focus - drawings, photographs, models,		
Week 4 / 11.10 17.10.	Tuesday 12.10. Wednesday 13.10	10:00-13:00 10:00-11:00 13:30-15:00 15:00-16:30	work on observations. table crit. with Linda Stagni. EVENT 4: PROVISORIUMS Lecture by Silke Langenberg, EVENT 5: THE BASTILLE AND ITS COPIES Lecture by Maarten Delbeke, HG E 42 with a subsequent tour through the Graphische Sammlung,		
Week 5 / 18.10 24.10.	Tuesday 19.10.	09:00-12:00 14:00-16:00	table crit. EVENT 6: Visit to WERK HARDWALD, AGIR AG, Unterengstringen		
Week 6 / 25.10 31.10.			work on observations. (seminar week, no crits)		
Week 7 / 1.11 7.11.	Wednesday 3.11.		10:00-13:00FINAL DEBATE of PREPARATION PHASEwith Jan de Vylder, Silke Langenberg, Maarten Delbekeindividual ambition: presentation of 1 mock-up.delivery:- narrative / brief for elaboration phase- all necessary documents / models for the narrative		
Week 8 / 8.11 14.11.	Tuesday 09.11	09:00-13:00	Start of ELABORATION PHASE. table crit.	elaboration	Based on the narratives developed an architectural project will be develead shall be kept open: maybe back maybe next to it, or maybe even on the city or further away. The propo to the findings of the PREPARATIC social, ecological or any other level the 3,33%- 33,3%-333%-attitude, future, inspired and provoked by w
Week 9 / 15.11 21.11.	Tuesday 16.11	09:00-13:00	work on project. table crit.	phase /	
Week 10 / 22.11 28.11.	Wednesday 24.11.	10:00-14:00	CRIT I of ELABORATION PHASE, with Jan de Vylder, Linda Stagni	9 weeks	
Week 11 / 29.11 5.12.	Tuesday 30.11.	09:00-13:00	work on project. table crit.	individual /	
Week 12 / 6.12 12.12.	Tuesday 07.12.	09:00-13:00	work on project. table crit.	grade 60%	
Week 13 / 13.12 19.12.	Tuesday 14.12.	09:00-13:00	work on project. table crit.	5-44C 00/0	
Week 14 / 20.12 26.12.	Monday 20.12.		CRIT II of ELABORATION PHASE (to be defined, with Jan de Vylder)		
Week 15 / 27.12 2.1.			work on project.		
Week 16 / 3.1 9.1.			work on project. volontary table crit (?) to be defined.		
	Thursday 13.01.22	18:30	DIPLOMA hand in.		

ATION PHASE, we will debate, explore, ate. The goal is to collectively gather a UPS and hereby analyse the production t this very moment. Who is building, and hat materials are used? The ambition is to a that can be traced through observation from the physical object of the MOCK-UP cept to typology), technically (standards to ly (resource to recycle), etc.

livided into individual phases of drawing, te, browsing the internet, etc., and on the ve moments of exploration and debate. Sitedel-studies and detailed drawing-studies are TION PHASE. A wider understanding in a l perspective, as well as in construction and ne ambition.

ON PHASE shall be finalised with an ed comprehensive narrative, bringing together ed from the analysis of one specific MOCKshould lay a basis for an architectural leveloped in the ELABORATION PHASE. ive you as an architect a reason to act, to yourself in the production of the city of

es developed in the PREPARATION PHASE ct will be developed. Where the journey will n: maybe back to the plot of the MOCK-UP, aybe even on a completely different site in ray. The proposal should be seen as a reaction PREPARATION PHASE, be it on a material, y other level of your interest. Guided by 3%-attitude, we want to imagine a possible rovoked by what we can find today.

IV

EVENTS

This studio will organise moments of reflection and collective discovery in close collaboration with:

The CHAIR OF HISTORY & THEORY of PROF. DR. MAARTEN DELBEKE with the assistance of LINDA STAGNI

The CHAIR OF KONSTRUKTIONSERBE UND DENKMALPFLEGE of PROF. DR. SILKE LANGENBERG, with the assistance of RETO WASSER

8000.AGENCY

These 6 EVENTS are an opportunity for the students to discover different places of possible interest and have inputs from experts.

MOCK UP - EXHIBITION Wednesday, 29.09.2021, 10:00-12:00 Swiss Architecture Museum, Basel. guided visit with ANDREAS RUBY or ANDREAS KOFLER

event 1

event 2

event 3

event 4

event 5

event 6

MOCK-UP TOUR Tuesday, 28.09.2021, 09:00-18:00 Zürich, with 8000.AGENCY

BAUTEILLAGER Monday, 04.10.2021, 14:00-16:00 Stettbacherstrasse 7, Dübendorf guided visit with SANDRINE KECK

PROVISORIUMS Wednesday, 13.10.2021, 10:00-11:00 lecture by PROF. DR. SILKE LANGENBERG

THE BASTILLE AND ITS COPIES Wednesday, 13.10.2021, 13:30-17:00 **HG E42** lecture by PROF. DR. MAARTEN DELBEKE with a subsequent tour through the exhibition DIE UNTERSCHÄTZTE HORIZONTALE

WERK HARDWALD Tuesday, 19.06.2021, 14:00-16:00 visit and tour through the Werk Hardwald, AGIR AG, Unterengstringen.









 \square







Drawing: Schematic sections of Mock-ups.

JAKOB JUNGHANSS, OLIVER BURCH, LUKAS RYFFEL



QUESTIONS

The following set of questions-ordered along different topicscan be a guide to start the PREPARATION PHASE.

what does the fragment want to tell you? what does it tell you? what's the ambition in terms of aesthetics? what ideology, what politics, what expression is connected to the fragment? why did they build a mock up? which fragment of the building did they choose to build? why? what are they uncertain of? what do they want to test? what image does the fragment want to transmit? prestige? humility? pride? coziness?

who's the owner of the plot? how is this expressed in the mock up? what are the monetary values of: the site, the old/new building and the mock-up itself? who does it target to? why does it target to these users? how did the owner come about the property? why was

what standards are the ambition? who are these designed for? who decided on what standards are the ambition? how is this expressed in the mock up? how sophisticated is the technical effort to achieve the standards? what labels are achieved? what do they stand for? which standards get replaced?

what is the mock-up made of? how many materials are being used? how are they connected? what is the lifespan of the materials used? where are the materials coming from? where does the material go? where do the people go? how long does the mockup stand? how long should the building stand? how long did a building stand there before? can the facade be reused? can it be disassembled? what's the money return?

who will use it? who used to use it? is the intended use visible? how could it be used instead? who builds it? how long did it take to build it? who profits from it? who likes it? who does not like it? who has access to it? who has not?

what do people tell you about it? what do the builders tell you about it? who cares? who does not care? where do these stories lead to? what's the history of the place? who used to come here? what happened in the past? what expect people from the place in





